1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6 7			
8		DICTRICT COLIDS	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	M.M.M., on behalf of his minor child, J.M.A.,	Case No. 3:18-cv-1832-DMS	
11	et al.,	Case 140. 5.16-ev-1652-D1415	
12	Plaintiffs,		
13	V.		
14	Jefferson Beauregard Sessions, III, Attorney General of the United States, et al.,		
15	Defendant.		
16	Borondunt.		
17	Ms. L, et al.,	Case No. 3:18-cv-428-DMS	
18		ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY	
19	Plaintiff,	APPROVAL OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT; PRELIMINARILY	
20	V.	CERTIFYING THE SETTLEMENT CLASSES; AND APPROVING CLASS	
21 22	U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement, et al.,	NOTICE	
23	Defendant.		
24			
25	Upon consideration of the Parties' Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of		
26	Proposed Settlement; Preliminary Certification of Settlement Classes; and Approval of Class		
27	Notice;		
	WHEREAS, the M.M.M. and Ms. L. named Plaintiffs allege that they and members of the		

Settlement Classes were injured as a result of Defendants' actions;

WHEREAS, the Court granted the *M.M.M.* Plaintiffs' request for a Temporary Restraining Order temporarily restraining Defendants "from removing [specified persons] from the United States, until the merits of Plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction is resolved," Order, ECF No. 55 at 15 (Aug. 16, 2018);

WHEREAS the Court ordered the parties to consider "how they wish to proceed on the issues of class certification and Plaintiffs' entitlement to asylum proceedings" and to "meet and confer and propose a solution—one which follows the law, and is equitable and reflective of ordered governance," *id.* at 16; and

WHEREAS, the Court has considered the Agreement, the proposed plan and form of notice, and the other documents submitted in connection with the parties' request for preliminary approval of the Agreement, certification of the Settlement Classes set forth in the Agreement for the purposes of settlement only, and appointment of class representatives and counsel for the Settlement Classes, and good cause appearing therefore;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

1. The Motion is **GRANTED**.

Preliminary Approval of the Settlement

- 2. The Court finds that: (a) the proposed Settlement, as set forth in the Agreement, is sufficiently fair, reasonable, and adequate to authorize the dissemination of notice of the Settlement to potential members of the Settlement Classes and to schedule a fairness hearing to determine whether to grant final approval of the proposed Settlement under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e); (b) the Agreement was negotiated at arm's length by experienced counsel acting in good faith; and (c) there has been adequate opportunity for discovery for experienced counsel to evaluate the claims and risks at this stage of the litigation.
- 3. The Court finds that preliminary approval is appropriate and hereby grants preliminary approval of the Settlement subject to final determination following notice and hearing.

Certification of the Settlement Classes, Appointment of Settlement Class Representatives,

and Appointment of Co-Lead Counsel

4. For purposes of the Settlement, and only for that purpose, and without an adjudication on the merits and without any impact upon the issues between Plaintiffs and Defendants in the event that final approval of the Settlement does not occur, pursuant to Rules 23(a) and 23(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court finds that the requirements for a class action are met, and hereby defines the following settlement classes.

The class of parents is defined as follows:

All adult alien parents who entered the United States at or between designated ports of entry with their child(ren), and who, on or before the effective date of this agreement: (1) were detained in immigration custody by the DHS; (2) have a child who was or is separated from them by DHS and, on or after June 26, 2018, was housed in ORR custody, ORR foster care, or DHS custody, absent a determination that the parent is unfit or presents a danger to the child; and (3) have been (and whose child(ren) have been) continuously physically present within the United States since June 26, 2018, whether in detention or released. The class does not include alien parents with criminal histories or a communicable disease, or those encountered in the interior of the United States. \(^1\)

The class of children is defined as follows:

All alien children who are under the age of 18 on the effective date of this agreement who: (1) entered the United States at or between designated ports of entry with an alien parent, and who were separated from their parents, on or before the effective date of this settlement agreement; (2) have been or will be reunified with that parent pursuant to the preliminary injunction issued by the Court in *Ms. L v. U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement*, No. 18-428 (S.D. Cal. June 26, 2018); and (3) have been continuously physically present in the United States since June 26, 2018.

The Settlement Classes are accordingly preliminarily certified for settlement purposes.

5. For purposes of preliminary approval, the Court finds that provisional certification of the Settlement Classes is warranted in light of the proposed Settlement under the prerequisites of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) because: (1) the members of the Settlement Classes are so numerous that joinder is impracticable; (2) there are issues of law and fact common to the Settlement Classes; (3) the claims of the named Plaintiffs in *M.M.M.* and *Ms. L.* are typical of the

- 3 -

References to a "class" or "class member" in the Agreement refer to the classes described in the text, as well as alien parents who are not part of the *Ms. L.* class due to criminal history or communicable disease, but who the Court has ordered must be reunified. The Agreement also addresses parents who are covered by the above description, but who have been removed from the United States.

claims of the Settlement Class Members; and (4) Plaintiffs and the proposed Class Counsel will fairly and adequately represent the interests of the Settlement Class Members.

- 6. For purposes of preliminary approval, the Court finds that provisional certification of the Settlement Classes is warranted in light of the proposed Settlement under the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2) because Defendants are alleged to have acted or refused to act on grounds that apply generally to the Settlement Classes, so that final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief is appropriate respecting the Settlement Classes as a whole.
- 7. The Court hereby appoints the named Plaintiffs in *M.M.M.* and *Ms. L.* as Settlement Class Representatives. The Court preliminarily finds that the Settlement Class Representatives will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Settlement Classes because: (1) the interests of the Settlement Class Representatives are consistent with those of Settlement Class Members; (2) there appear to be no conflicts between or among the Settlement Class Representatives and the other Settlement Class Members; (3) the Settlement Class Representatives have been and appear to be capable of continuing to be active participants in both the prosecution and the settlement of this litigation; and (4) the Settlement Class Representatives and Settlement Class Members are represented by qualified, reputable counsel who are experienced in preparing and prosecuting large, complicated class action cases, including those concerning alleged violations of the relevant laws.
- 8. The requirements of Rule 23(g) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure are met, and the Court hereby confirms the appointment of the law firm Eversheds Sutherland, Muslim Advocates, and the Legal Aid Justice Center as counsel for the parent class for parents continuously physically present in the United States since June 26, 2018, the ACLU as counsel for parents who have been removed, and Hogan Lovells US LLP as counsel for the child class.

Notice to Potential Settlement Class Members

- 9. The Court finds that the proposed Settlement, as set forth in the Agreement, subject to final determination following proper notice and a fairness hearing, is sufficiently fair, reasonable, and adequate to authorize dissemination of notice to the Settlement Classes.
- 10. The Court approves the form and content of the draft Notice, as well as the plan for distribution of the Notice, which complies fully with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 and due process. The parties shall cause the Notice to be provided to potential members of the Settlement Classes in accordance with the Notice Plan and the Agreement.
- 11. Any Settlement Class Member who objects to the proposed Settlement must do so in writing, postmarked no later than November 2, 2018, and shall otherwise comply with the requirements set forth in the Notice.
- 12. By November 9, 2018, the parties shall file with the Court their motion for final approval of the Settlement.
- 13. The parties shall file with the Court their responses to any objection(s) to the Settlement on or before November 9, 2018.
- 14. The Court will hold a fairness hearing on November 15, 2018, at 10:30 AM at Courtroom 13A, 13th Floor, Suite 1310, 333 West Broadway, San Diego, CA 92101, to determine the fairness, reasonableness, and adequacy of the proposed Settlement. Any Settlement Class Member who follows the procedure set forth in the Notice may appear and be heard. The fairness hearing may be rescheduled, adjourned, or continued without further notice to the Settlement Class Members.

Other Provisions

15. In the event that the Settlement does not become final and effective for any reason, nothing in the Agreement, this Order, or proceedings or orders regarding the Settlement shall be construed to prejudice any position that the Plaintiffs, Defendants, and Settlement Class Members may assert in any aspect of this litigation.

16. Neither the Agreement, nor any of its terms or provisions, nor any of the negotiations or proceedings in connection with it, shall be construed as an admission or concession by Defendants of the truth of any allegations in the litigation, or of any fault or wrongdoing of any kind, or of a lack of merit of Plaintiffs' allegations.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 9, 2018

Hon. Dana M. Sabraw United States District Judge